New Vaccine Against Tuberculosis: Current Developments and Future Challenges

Jun Liu

(Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada M5S 1A8)

Tuberculosis (TB) continues to be a global health threat. BCG was developed as an attenuated live vaccine for tuberculosis control nearly a century ago. Despite being the most widely used vaccine in human history, BCG is not an ideal vaccine and has two major limitations: its poor efficacy against adult pulmonary TB and its disconcerting safety in immunocompromised individuals. A safer and more effective TB vaccine is urgently needed. This review article discusses current strategies to develop the next generation of TB vaccines to replace BCG. While some progresses have been made in the past decade, significant challenges lie ahead.

Kev words Tuberculosis, BCG, Vaccine

Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB), caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M. tb), remains a major global health problem. TB, AIDS, and malaria are the "big three" killer infectious diseases worldwide. TB causes ~2 million deaths annually and latently infects one-third of the world population (estimated 2 billion). Successful global TB control faces many obstacles including the difficulty of timely diagnosis, the lack of effective vaccines, and the fact that TB treatment requires many months of chemotherapy. The situation has been further complicated with the advent of M. tb/HIV co-infection and the emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) and extensively drug-resistant (XDR) TB. In 2006, the global burden of MDR-TB, defined as resistance to isoniazid and rifampin, was estimated at 500 000 cases^[1]. In addition, the incident of XDR-TB,

caused by MDR strains that are also resistant to a fluoroquinolone and at least one second-line injectable agent (amikacin, kanamycin, or capreomycin), is increasing in many countries^[1]. A deadly association between HIV and TB has been known since the start of the HIV-epidemic. Of the 1.7 million people who died from TB in 2006, approximately 200 000 were co-infected with HIV^[1]. In light of these developments, a new and effective vaccine is urgently needed, which is essential for reducing the estimated 8—10 million new TB infections that occur annually. According to the Global Plan to Stop TB (2006—2015), the introduction of effective TB vaccines will be an essential component of any strategy to control TB by 2050.

A brief history of BCG

Bacille Calmette-Guérin (BCG), an attenuated strain of Mycobacterium bovis (M. bovis), is currently the only available vaccine against TB. Around 1901, Albert Calmette and Camille Guérin isolated a virulent strain of M. bovis from the milk of a cow suffering from tuberculous mastitis. In order to minimize bacterial clumping and optimize animal infection experiments, Calmette added ox bile, a detergent, to the glycerol-soaked potato slices on which the M. bovis was cultured, which resulted in an isolate with unusual colony morphology and reduced virulence in guinea pigs. Recognizing the implications of these observations in terms of vaccine development, Calmette and Guérin continued the serial in vitro passaging of this M. bovis strain for the next 13 years (1908— 1921). During this time, experiments with diverse animal models, including guinea pigs, rabbits,

50 SCIENCE FOUNDATION IN CHINA

^{*} Author: 4382 Medical Science Building, Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, 1 King's College Circle, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A8; Tel; 416-946-5067; E-mail; jun. liu@utoronto, ca

dogs, cattle, horses, chickens and non-human primates, established both the safety and efficacy of BCG. When administered at different doses and by different routes, BCG was well tolerated and failed to produce tuberculous lesions. Moreover, BCG vaccination provided protection against a challenge with virulent strains. The first human trial occurred in July 1921. An infant was given three 2 mg doses (6 mg total; $\sim 2.4 \times 10^8$ cfu) by the oral route[2]. There were no deleterious side effects and, most importantly, the child did not develop TB even though the infant's mother had died of TB shortly after giving birth. Over the next year, additional newborns were vaccinated and no ill effects were reported. For the first time, a safe and apparently effective vaccine was available for the prevention of human TB[2].

As early as 1924, cultures of BCG were distributed by the Institute Pasteur to laboratories around the world^[3]. By 1926, at least 34 countries had received cultures from the Pasteur Institute. In 1927, another 26 countries received cultures of BCG^[3]. The implementation of BCG varied from country to country, and several fascinating histories have been written about specific strains (e.g., BCG-Japan^[4] and BCG-Moreau^[5]). Because BCG is a live vaccine, it was necessary to transfer cultures to fresh media every few weeks. Despite efforts to standardize the growth and preparation of the vaccine, different passaging conditions were used. Although subculturing on potato or Sauton media was common, deep-culture methods were also used^[6]. By the 1950s, numerous vaccine producers recognized the emergence of BCG substrains with distinct morphological, biochemical and immunological phenotypes^[7-10]. Not until 1966, however, with the introduction of the "seed-lot system" as part of the "Requirements for Dried BCG Vaccine" initiated by WHO (WHO Expert Committee on Biological Standardization, 1966), was lyophilization of BCG strains started, and the process of in vitro evolution halted. As a result, dozens of distinct daughter strains emerged, including four that are currently in major use: BCG-Pasteur (1173P2), BCG-Japan (Tokyo-172), BCG-Danish (Copenhagen-1331), and BCG-Glaxo (1077).

Since 1974, BCG has been included in the WHO Expanded Program on Immunization^[11].

More than 3 billion individuals have been immunized with BCG and (100 million doses of BCG are administered annually, making it the most widely used vaccine[11]. Clinical studies have confirmed that BCG protects children, providing>80% efficacy against severe forms of TB, including meningitis and miliary TB^[12,13]. However, BCG has a limited effect against pulmonary TB in adults with variable efficacy estimates from clinical studies ranging from 0 to 80%[14]. Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain the variable efficacy, including differences in BCG strains used in clinical studies, differences in trial methods, differential exposure of trial populations to environmental mycobacteria, nutritional or genetic differences in human populations, and variations among clinical M. tb strains[15-20]. These explanations are not mutually exclusive and all may contribute to the heterogeneity in BCG efficacy.

It is now clear that BCG is not a single, isogenic strain, but instead comprises a number of substrains that exhibit phenotypic and biochemical differences^[21]. During the past decade, comparative genome analyses of multiple BCG strains have uncovered extensive genotypic diversity, including both deletions and duplications, in BCG substrains^[22–25]. A molecular phylogeny based on these studies has been established and is generally consistent with the historical records of BCG dissemination^[22,26,27].

BCG strains also exhibit differences in residual virulence level^[28-30]. However, side effects were often attributed to variations in the viability of vaccines during preparation procedures (e.g., freezedrying)[21]. In other words, the observed differential virulence among BCG strains is often thought to have originated from quality control issues during manufacturing rather than reflecting true biological differences. Although differential virulence has been suspected to impact vaccine efficacy[7,31], definitive evidence, such as the direct implication of established virulence factors and/or virulence genes, has been lacking. Because of this, BCG strains are often considered to possess "equivalent" vaccine properties and typically, only one BCG strain is selected for vaccine studies that compare the safety and efficacy of new vaccine candidates with "BCG". However, in the past decade, the advances in genomic techniques and knowledge of

Vol. 17, No. 2, 2009 51

the virulence mechanisms of *M. tb* have offered unprecedented opportunities to re-evaluate these traditional assumptions. Indeed, our recent studies have provided direct evidence that the distribution of major mycobacterial virulence factors varies among BCG lineages^[32,33], and suggests that different BCG substrains have different mechanisms of attenuation.

It is well recognized that BCG gives significant protection for only a limited period of time and is not effective in populations already sensitized by mycobacterial antigens (e. g. by prior BCG vaccination, exposure to environmental mycobacteria, or latent TB infection)^[12,13,34]. The period of immunity by BCG given at birth extends at best 10 to 20 years thus having little effect on the rate of TB in adults^[35-37].

Current developments

Currently, the strategies for developing a new generation of TB vaccines are either to replace BCG with a stronger vaccine that provides a longer duration of protection or to design a vaccine used in conjunction with BCG that can be given at a later time point to boost existing immunity. Both of these approaches have advantages and disadvantages^[38], and the vaccine candidates that have entered clinical trials include examples from both approaches.

The first example of the BCG replacement strategy is rBCG30, a recombinant BCG-Tice strain that overexpresses antigen 85B (Ag85B, Rv1886c) of M. tb, which is a major secreted protein and belongs to the mycolyl transferase family comprising Ag85A (Rv3804c), Ag85B and Ag85C (Rv0129c). Ag85A and Ag85B are highly immunogenic^[39]. Guinea pigs immunized with rBCG30 and challenged with M. tb resulted in 0.5 to 1.0 logs fewer bacteria than animals immunized with BCG-Tice^[40]. This was the first vaccine candidate that exhibited greater protection than BCG. Subsequent studies indicate that rBCG30 also appears to induce a longer duration of protection than BCG alone^[41,42]. This vaccine candidate, developed by Marcus Horwitz's lab at UCLA, passed phase I clinical trials in 2004^[43]. A second vaccine candidate of this category is rBCG: \(\Delta ureC-llo^+\), which is a urease-deficient strain of BCG-Pasteur that ex-

presses the listeriolysin O gene from Listeria monocytogenes[44]. Urease is deleted as a means of providing the optimal pH for listeriolysin function, which damages the phagosome membrane, allowing BCG leakage into the cytosol and increasing the amount of antigens avaliable for presentation to CD8+ T cells[44]. BALB/c mice vaccinated with rBCG: $\Delta ureC$ - llo^+ showed a reduction in M. tb burden by ~1.0 log compared to mice immunized with the BCG control^[44]. This vaccine has entered phase I clinical trials in 2008. Finally, others have attempted to make new vaccines by attenuating M. tb, reasoning that this would give the closest simulation of natural immunity occurring after M. tb infection. Examples include the phoP mutant of M. $tb^{[45]}$ and the non-replicating M. tb mutant strain ($\Delta lysA \Delta panCD$) that is auxotrophic for lysine and pantothenate[46].

The second strategy in TB vaccine development aims at boosting BCG-induced immunity, which includes administration of BCG as the "prime", followed by a "booster" inoculation with a subunit vaccine (DNA or protein) either to infants and young children before they are exposed to TB (i. e., boosting shortly after BCG vaccination) or as a separate booster to young adults (i. e., boosting several years after BCG vaccination)[38,47]. BCG is a live vaccine and the development of protective immunity after vaccination appears to require BCG replication in the host for a certain period of time[15,16]. However, this can be prevented by a pre-existing immune response that can cross-react to BCG, e.g., by exposure to environmental mycobacteria, prior BCG vaccination or $M. tb \text{ infection}^{[12,13,34]}$. As such, attempts to boost protection by giving multiple doses of BCG have proven ineffective^[48]. Because of this, subunit vaccines are chosen as the booster and current research has focused on several antigens that induce strong INF-γ production^[47].

Protection against TB requires a cell-mediated immune response, which is not fully understood but appears to involve multiple components including CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, unconventional T cells such as $\gamma\delta$ T cells and CD1-restricted $\alpha\beta$ T cells^[49,50]. Currently, there is no proven immunological correlate of protection or "biomarker" for efficacy^[51,52]. However, a critical role of INF- γ in the control of TB has been demonstrated in

mice^[53,54] and humans^[55,56]. As such, INF- γ is currently used as a biomarker for TB vaccine selection, despite the fact that INF-y alone is insufficient for protection against TB. The identification of M, tb antigens that induce strong INF- γ production has been the main strategy employed to uncover subunit vaccines. This was done by biochemical fractionation of M. tb protein mixtures, particularly the culture filtrate proteins[57-60]. Using this technique, several antigens were identified including small secreted proteins ESAT-6/Rv3875, CFP-10/Rv3874, TB9.8/Rv0287, and Mtb9.9A/ Rv1793, the antigen 85 complex (Ag85A, B, C), and several PE/PPE family proteins (e. g., Rv1196/PPE18, Rv0195c/PPE14)^[61-66]. Based on these studies, three fusion proteins Ag85B-ESAT-6, Ag85B-TB10. 4 (Rv0288), and Mtb72f (Rv1196-Rv0125) were constructed and are presently the most advanced protein-based subunit vaccines. All three exhibit protection similar to that obtained with BCG in mice and guinea pigs when formulated in selected Th1 inducing adjuvants [65,67,68]. Furthermore, Ag85B-ESAT-6 was protective in nonhuman primates[69] and Mtb72f was able to boost the protection afforded by BCG in cynomolgus monkeys^[70]. All three recombinant protein vaccines have now completed phase I clinical trials and have either entered or are soon to enter phase II trials.

DNA based subunit vaccines have also been exploited, which uses viral vectors such as adenovirus or vaccinia virus for delivery to stimulate greater CD8 recognition of the expressed M. tb antigens. The first example of a DNA subunit vaccine is MAV-85A, a replication-deficient vaccinia virus expressing Ag85A. In rhesus monkeys, MAV-85A was shown to boost the protective efficacy of BCG^[71]. This vaccine is now undergoing multiple phase I/II trials in Africa. Another example of a DNA subunit vaccine is Aeras-402, a replication-deficient recombinant adenovirus-35 expressing Ag85A, Ag85B, and TB10.4, which was shown to boost T cell responses in BCG-primed rhesus monkeys^[72]. This vaccine is currently under phase I evaluation in South Africa.

Future challenges

While TB vaccine research has gained momen-

tum in recent years, there are still major obstacles. A new generation of TB vaccines must offer greater protection than current BCG and be safe enough to be used in HIV-endemic countries. Currently, none of the subunit vaccines that are in clinical trials have exhibited greater efficacy than BCG in animal model studies, which is the reason they are considered a booster rather than a replacement for BCG. Although recombinant BCG strains (rB-CG30, rBCG:: $\Delta ureC$ - llo^+) and the attenuated M. tb phoP mutant consistently reduced the M. tb burden by ~ 1.0 log compared to BCG alone, it is not clear that whether this level of improvement is sufficient. In addition, the safety of these recombinant BCG and attenuated M. tb strains remains in question. In 2007, WHO revised its policy to recommend that BCG not be given to children known to be HIV-positive, even if asymptomatic, because of the substantially high risk of BCG-induced disseminated disease in HIV-infected individuals^[73]. All clinical trials of new TB vaccines have so far excluded HIV positive individuals. While this cautious approach is logical, there is a need to evaluate new TB vaccines in HIV-infected populations because with an annual TB incidence rate of 5%-10%, they are among the most in need of a new and effective vaccine. The M. tb phoP mutant would probably require further attenuation by additional mutations to ensure safety before entering clinical trials^[71]. The non-replicating M. th mutant strain ($\Delta lysA \Delta panCD$) is safer than BCG but does not afford the same level of protection as BCG[74]. Because of this, there is no guarantee that the leading candidates will progress through phase III clinical trials and registration. Experiences from HIV and malaria vaccine trials have taught us that it is important to continue preclinical research and to develop new and better vaccines for the pipeline. The search for new antigens^[75,76] or combining different strategies (e.g., using the recombinant BCG strain that is urease-deficient and expressing perfingolysin O as the parental strain to overexpress Ag85B, coupled with subunit vaccines as booster^[77]) to develop a better TB vaccine is ongoing.

References

[1] Anonymous. Global tuberculosis control 2008; surveillance, planning, financing. WHO Report 2008.

Vol. 17, No. 2, 2009

- [2] Bonah C. The "experimental stable" of the BCG vaccine: safety, efficacy, proof, and standards, 1921—1933. Stud Hist Philos Biol Biomed Sci 2005;36;696—721.
- [3] Oettinger T, Jorgensen M, Ladefoged A, Haslov K, Andersen P. Development of the Mycobacterium bovis BCG vaccine: review of the historical and biochemical evidence for a genealogical tree. Tuber Lung Dis 1999;79:243—250.
- [4] Yamamoto S, Yamamoto T. Historical review of BCG vaccine in Japan. Jpn J Infect Dis 2007;60:331—336.
- [5] Benevolo-de-Andrade TC, Monteiro-Maia R, Cosgrove C, Castello-Branco LR. BCG Moreau Rio de Janeiro; an oral vaccine against tuberculosis—review. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2005;100:459—465.
- [6] Osborn TW. Changes in BCG strains. Tubercle 1983;64:1—13.
- [7] DUBOS RJ, PIERCE CH. Differential characteristics in vitro and in vivo of several substrains of BCG. IV. Immunizing effectiveness. Am Rev Tuberc 1956;74:699—717.
- [8] DUBOS RJ, PIERCE CH, SCHAEFER WB. Differential characteristics in vitro and in vivo of several substrains of BCG. III. Multiplication and survival in vivo. Am Rev Tuberc 1956;74:683—698.
- [9] DUBOS RJ, PIERCE CH. Differential characteristics in vitro and in vivo of several substrains of BCG. II. Morphologic characteristics in vitro and in vivo. Am Rev Tuberc 1956;74: 667—682.
- [10] DUBOS RJ, PIERCE CH. Differential characteristics in vitro and in vivo of several substrains of BCG. I. Multiplication and survival in vitro. Am Rev Tuberc 1956;74:655—666.
- [11] Anonymous. BCG vaccine. WHO position paper. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2004;79:27—38.
- [12] Colditz GA, Berkey CS, Mosteller F, Brewer TF, Wilson ME, Burdick E, Fineberg HV. The efficacy of bacillus Calmette-Guerin vaccination of newborns and infants in the prevention of tuberculosis: meta-analyses of the published literature. Pediatrics 1995;96:29—35.
- [13] Trunz BB, Fine P, Dye C. Effect of BCG vaccination on childhood tuberculous meningitis and miliary tuberculosis worldwide: a meta-analysis and assessment of cost-effectiveness. Lancet 2006;367:1173—1180.
- [14] Brewer TF. Preventing tuberculosis with bacillus Calmette-Guerin vaccine; a meta-analysis of the literature. Clin Infect Dis 2000;31 Suppl 3:S64—S67.
- [15] Brandt L, Feino CJ, Weinreich OA, Chilima B, Hirsch P, Appelberg R, Andersen P. Failure of the Mycobacterium bovis BCG vaccine; some species of environmental mycobacteria block multiplication of BCG and induction of protective immunity to tuberculosis. Infect Immun 2002,70:672—678.
- [16] Demangel C, Garnier T, Rosenkrands I, Cole ST. Differential effects of prior exposure to environmental mycobacteria on vaccination with Mycobacterium bovis BCG or a recombinant BCG strain expressing RD1 antigens. Infect Immun 2005;73: 2190—2196.
- [17] Fine PE. Variation in protection by BCG; implications of and for heterologous immunity. Lancet 1995;346;1339—1345.
- [18] Comstock GW. Field trials of tuberculosis vaccines; how could we have done them better? Control Clin Trials 1994; 15:247-276.
- [19] Behr MA. BCG-different strains, different vaccines? Lancet Infect Dis 2002;2;86—92.

- [20] Tsenova L, Harbacheuski R, Sung N, Ellison E, Fallows D, Kaplan G. BCG vaccination confers poor protection against M. tuberculosis HN878-induced central nervous system disease. Vaccine 2007;25:5126-5132.
- [21] Milstien JB, Gibson JJ. Quality control of BCG vaccine by WHO; a review of factors that may influence vaccine effectiveness and safety. Bull World Health Organ 1990;68:93— 108.
- [22] Behr MA, Wilson MA, Gill WP, Salamon H, Schoolnik GK, Rane S, Small PM. Comparative genomics of BCG vaccines by whole-genome DNA microarray. Science 1999;284:1520— 1523
- [23] Brosch R, Gordon SV, Buchrieser C, Pym AS, Garnier T, Cole ST. Comparative genomics uncovers large tandem chromosomal duplications in Mycobacterium bovis BCG Pasteur. Yeast 2000;17;111—123.
- [24] Mahairas GG, Sabo PJ, Hickey MJ, Singh DC, Stover CK. Molecular analysis of genetic differences between Mycobacterium bovis BCG and virulent M. bovis. J Bacteriol 1996;178: 1274—1282
- [25] Mostowy S, Tsolaki AG, Small PM, Behr MA. The in vitro evolution of BCG vaccines. Vaccine 2003;21;4270—4274.
- [26] Behr MA, Small PM. A historical and molecular phylogeny of BCG strains. Vaccine 1999;17:915-922.
- [27] Brosch R, Gordon SV, Garnier T, Eiglmeier K, Frigui W, Valenti P, Dos SS, Duthoy S, Lacroix C, Garcia-Pelayo C, Inwald JK, Golby P, Garcia JN, Hewinson RG, Behr MA, Quail MA, Churcher C, Barrell BG, Parkhill J, Cole ST. Genome plasticity of BCG and impact on vaccine efficacy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:5596—5601.
- [28] Bunch-Christensen K, Ladefoged A, Guld J. The virulence of some strains of BCG for golden hamsters. Bull World Health Organ 1968;39:821—828.
- [29] Bunch-Christensen K, Ladefoged A, Guld J. The virulence of some strains of BCG for golden hamsters. Further studies. Bull World Health Organ 1970;43:65-70.
- [30] Lagranderie MR, Balazuc AM, Deriaud E, Leclerc CD, Gheorghiu M. Comparison of immune responses of mice immunized with five different Mycobacterium bovis BCG vaccine strains. Infect Immun 1996;64:1—9.
- [31] Behr MA, Small PM. Has BCG attenuated to impotence? Nature 1997;389:133—134.
- [32] Leung AS, Tran V, Alexander DC, Ren H, Tan T, Liu J. Novel genome polymorphisms in BCG vaccine strains and impact on efficacy. BMC Genomics. 2008; 9:413.
- [33] Chen JM, Islam ST, Ren H, Liu J. Differential productions of lipid virulence factors among BCG vaccine strains and implications on BCG safety. Vaccine 2007;25:8114—8122.
- [34] Brewer TF, Colditz GA. Bacille Calmette-Guerin vaccination for the prevention of tuberculosis in health care workers. Clin Infect Dis 1995;20:136—142.
- [35] Sterne JA, Rodrigues LC, Guedes IN. Does the efficacy of BCG decline with time since vaccination? Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 1998;2:200-207.
- [36] Comstock GW, Woolpert SF, Livesay VT. Tuberculosis studies in Muscogee County, Georgia. Twenty-year evaluation of a community trial of BCG vaccination. Public Health Rep 1976;91;276—280.
- [37] Hart PD, Sutherland I. BCG and vole bacillus vaccines in the prevention of tuberculosis in adolescence and early adult life. Br Med J 1977;2;293—295.

- [38] Skeiky YA, Sadoff JC. Advances in tuberculosis vaccine strategies. Nat Rev Microbiol 2006;4:469-476.
- [39] D'Souza S, Rosseels V, Romano M, Tanghe A, Denis O, Jurion F, Castiglione N, Vanonckelen A, Palfliet K, Huygen K. Mapping of murine Th1 helper T-Cell epitopes of mycolyl transferases Ag85A, Ag85B, and Ag85C from Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Infect Immun 2003;71:483—493.
- [40] Horwitz MA, Harth G, Dillon BJ, Maslesa-Galic' S. Recombinant bacillus calmette-guerin (BCG) vaccines expressing the Mycobacterium tuberculosis 30-kDa major secretory protein induce greater protective immunity against tuberculosis than conventional BCG vaccines in a highly susceptible animal model. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000;97;13853—13858.
- [41] Horwitz MA, Harth G. A new vaccine against tuberculosis affords greater survival after challenge than the current vaccine in the guinea pig model of pulmonary tuberculosis. Infect Immun 2003;71:1672—1679.
- [42] Horwitz MA. Recombinant BCG expressing Mycobacterium tuberculosis major extracellular proteins. Microbes Infect 2005;7:947-954.
- [43] Hoft DF, Blazevic A, Abate G, Hanekom WA, Kaplan G, Soler JH, Weichold F, Geiter L, Sadoff JC, Horwitz MA. A new recombinant bacille Calmette-Guerin vaccine safely induces significantly enhanced tuberculosis-specific immunity in human volunteers. J Infect Dis 2008;198:1491—1501.
- [44] Grode L, Seiler P, Baumann S, Hess J, Brinkmann V, Nasser EA, Mann P, Goosmann C, Bandermann S, Smith D, Bancroft GJ, Reyrat JM, van Soolingen D, Raupach B, Kaufmann SH. Increased vaccine efficacy against tuberculosis of recombinant Mycobacterium bovis bacille Calmette-Guerin mutants that secrete listeriolysin. J Clin Invest 2005; 115: 2472—2479.
- [45] Martin C, Williams A, Hernandez-Pando R, Cardona PJ, Gormley E, Bordat Y, Soto CY, Clark SO, Hatch GJ, Aguilar D, Ausina V, Gicquel B. The live Mycobacterium tuberculosis phoP mutant strain is more attenuated than BCG and confers protective immunity against tuberculosis in mice and guinea pigs. Vaccine 2006;24;3408—3419.
- [46] Sambandamurthy VK, Derrick SC, Jalapathy KV, Chen B, Russell RG, Morris SL, Jacobs WRJ. Long-term protection against tuberculosis following vaccination with a severely attenuated double lysine and pantothenate auxotroph of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Infect Immun 2005;73:1196—1203.
- [47] Aagaard C, Dietrich J, Doherty M, Andersen P. TB vaccines: current status and future perspectives. Immunol Cell Biol 2009;87:279-286.
- [48] Leung CC, Tam CM, Chan SL, Chan-Yeung M, Chan CK, Chang KC. Efficacy of the BCG revaccination programme in a cohort given BCG vaccination at birth in Hong Kong. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2001;5:717—723.
- [49] Cooper AM. Cell-mediated immune responses in tuberculosis. Annu Rev Immunol 2009;27:393—422.
- [50] North RJ, Jung YJ. Immunity to tuberculosis. Annu Rev Immunol 2004;22:599—623.
- [51] Mittrucker HW, Steinhoff U, Kohler A, Krause M, Lazar D, Mex P, Miekley D, Kaufmann SH. Poor correlation between BCG vaccination-induced T cell responses and protection against tuberculosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007; 104;12434—12439.

- [52] Soares AP, Scriba TJ, Joseph S, Harbacheuski R, Murray RA, Gelderbloem SJ, Hawkridge A, Hussey GD, Maecker H, Kaplan G, Hanekom WA. Bacillus Calmette-Guerin vaccination of human newborns induces T cells with complex cytokine and phenotypic profiles. J Immunol 2008;180;3569—3577.
- [53] Cooper AM, Dalton DK, Stewart TA, Griffin JP, Russell DG, Orme IM. Disseminated tuberculosis in interferon gamma gene-disrupted mice. J Exp Med 1993;178;2243—2247.
- [54] Flynn JL, Chan J, Triebold KJ, Dalton DK, Stewart TA, Bloom BR. An essential role for interferon gamma in resistance to Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. J Exp Med 1993;178:2249—2254.
- [55] Jouanguy E, Altare F, Lamhamedi S, Revy P, Emile JF, Newport M, Levin M, Blanche S, Seboun E, Fischer A, Casanova JL. Interferon-gamma-receptor deficiency in an infant with fatal bacille Calmette-Guerin infection. N Engl J Med 1996;335:1956—1961.
- [56] Newport MJ, Huxley CM, Huston S, Hawrylowicz CM, Oostra BA, Williamson R, Levin M. A mutation in the interferon-gamma-receptor gene and susceptibility to mycobacterial infection. N Engl J Med 1996;335:1941—1949.
- [57] Boesen H, Jensen BN, Wilcke T, Andersen P. Human T-cell responses to secreted antigen fractions of Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Infect Immun 1995;63;1491-1497.
- [58] Horwitz MA, Lee BW, Dillon BJ, Harth G. Protective immunity against tuberculosis induced by vaccination with major extracellular proteins of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1995;92:1530—1534.
- [59] Pal PG, Horwitz MA. Immunization with extracellular proteins of Mycobacterium tuberculosis induces cell-mediated immune responses and substantial protective immunity in a guinea pig model of pulmonary tuberculosis. Infect Immun 1992;60;4781—4792.
- [60] Roberts AD, Sonnenberg MG, Ordway DJ, Furney SK, Brennan PJ, Belisle JT, Orme IM. Characteristics of protective immunity engendered by vaccination of mice with purified culture filtrate protein antigens of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Immunology 1995;85:502—508.
- [61] Borremans M, de Wit L, Volckaert G, Ooms J, de Bruyn J, Huygen K, van Vooren JP, Stelandre M, Verhofstadt R, Content J. Cloning, sequence determination, and expression of a 32-kilodalton-protein gene of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Infect Immun 1989;57:3123—3130.
- [62] Bassey EO, Life PF, Catty D, Gaston JS, Kumararatne DS. T-cell response to mycobacterial proteins: a comparative study of tuberculous and control immunoblots of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and M. bovis BCG. Tuber Lung Dis 1996;77;146—153.
- [63] Brandt L, Elhay M, Rosenkrands I, Lindblad EB, Andersen P. ESAT-6 subunit vaccination against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Infect Immun 2000;68:791-795.
- [64] Brodin P, Majlessi L, Brosch R, Smith D, Bancroft G, Clark S, Williams A, Leclerc C, Cole ST. Enhanced protection against tuberculosis by vaccination with recombinant Mycobacterium microti vaccine that induces T cell immunity against region of difference 1 antigens. J Infect Dis 2004;190:115—122.
- [65] Dietrich J, Aagaard C, Leah R, Olsen AW, Stryhn A, Doherty TM, Andersen P. Exchanging ESAT6 with TB10.4 in an Ag85B fusion molecule-based tuberculosis subunit vaccine: efficient protection and ESAT6-based sensitive monitoring of vaccine efficacy. J Immunol 2005;174:6332—6339.

Vol. 17, No. 2, 2009 55

- [66] Coler RN, Skeiky YA, Vedvick T, Bement T, Ovendale P, Campos-Neto A, Alderson MR, Reed SG. Molecular cloning and immunologic reactivity of a novel low molecular mass antigen of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Immunol 1998;161: 2356—2364.
- [67] Weinrich OA, van Pinxteren LA, Meng OL, Birk RP, Andersen P. Protection of mice with a tuberculosis subunit vaccine based on a fusion protein of antigen 85b and esat-6. Infect Immun 2001;69:2773—2778.
- [68] Skeiky YA, Alderson MR, Ovendale PJ, Guderian JA, Brandt L, Dillon DC, Campos-Neto A, Lobet Y, Dalemans W, Orme IM, Reed SG. Differential immune responses and protective efficacy induced by components of a tuberculosis polyprotein vaccine, Mtb72F, delivered as naked DNA or recombinant protein. J Immunol 2004;172;7618—7628.
- [69] Langermans JA, Doherty TM, Vervenne RA, van der Laan T, Lyashchenko K, Greenwald R, Agger EM, Aagaard C, Weiler H, van Soolingen D, Dalemans W, Thomas AW, Andersen P. Protection of macaques against Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection by a subunit vaccine based on a fusion protein of antigen 85B and ESAT-6. Vaccine 2005;23:2740— 2750.
- [70] Reed SG, Coler RN, Dalemans W, Tan EV, DeLa CE, Basaraba RJ, Orme IM, Skeiky YA, Alderson MR, Cowgill KD, Prieels JP, Abalos RM, Dubois MC, Cohen J, Mettens P, Lobet Y. Defined tuberculosis vaccine, Mtb72F/AS02A, evidence of protection in cynomolgus monkeys. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009;106;2301—2306.
- [71] Verreck FA, Vervenne RA, Kondova I, van Kralingen KW, Remarque EJ, Braskamp G, van der Werff NM, Kersbergen A, Ottenhoff TH, Heidt PJ, Gilbert SC, Gicquel B, Hill AV, Martin C, McShane H, Thomas AW. MVA. 85A boosting of BCG and an attenuated, phoP deficient M. tuberculosis vaccine both show protective efficacy against tuberculosis in rhesus macaques. PLoS One 2009;4:e5264.

- [72] Magalhaes I, Sizemore DR, Ahmed RK, Mueller S, Wehlin L, Scanga C, Weichold F, Schirru G, Pau MG, Goudsmit J, Kuhlmann-Berenzon S, Spangberg M, Andersson J, Gaines H, Thorstensson R, Skeiky YA, Sadoff J, Maeurer M. rB-CG induces strong antigen-specific T cell responses in rhesus macaques in a prime-boost setting with an adenovirus 35 tuberculosis vaccine vector. PLoS One 2008;3:e3790.
- [73] Anonymous. Revised BCG vaccination guidelines for infants at risk for HIV infection. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2007; 82: 193-196.
- [74] Larsen MH, Biermann K, Chen B, Hsu T, Sambandamurthy VK, Lackner AA, Aye PP, Didier P, Huang D, Shao L, Wei H, Letvin NL, Frothingham R, Haynes BF, Chen ZW, Jacobs WRJ. Efficacy and safety of live attenuated persistent and rapidly cleared Mycobacterium tuberculosis vaccine candidates in non-human primates. Vaccine 2009;27:4709—4717.
- [75] Coler RN, Dillon DC, Skeiky YA, Kahn M, Orme IM, Lobet Y, Reed SG, Alderson MR. Identification of Mycobacterium tuberculosis vaccine candidates using human CD4 + T-cells expression cloning. Vaccine 2009;27;223—233.
- [76] Bertholet S, Ireton GC, Kahn M, Guderian J, Mohamath R, Stride N, Laughlin EM, Baldwin SL, Vedvick TS, Coler RN, Reed SG. Identification of human T cell antigens for the development of vaccines against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Immunol 2008;181,7948—7957.
- [77] Sun R, Skeiky YA, Izzo A, Dheenadhayalan V, Imam Z, Penn E, Stagliano K, Haddock S, Mueller S, Fulkerson J, Scanga C, Grover A, Derrick SC, Morris S, Hone DM, Horwitz MA, Kaufmann SH, Sadoff JC. Novel recombinant BCG expressing perfringolysin O and the over-expression of key immunodominant antigens; pre-clinical characterization, safety and protection against challenge with Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Vaccine 2009;27,4412—4423.